EXHIBIT 19

	Pag
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
Case No. 05-44481	
X	
In the Matter of:	
DELPHI CORPORATION ET AL,	
Debtor.	
United States Bankruptcy Court	
One Bowling Green	
New York, New York	
January 17, 2008	
10:26 AM	
BEFORE:	
HON. ROBERT D. DRAIN	
U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	

Page 54 Page 56 I'm not sure how you want to deal with that particular statements that others have made to him. 1 1 2 objection to paragraph 170. It's actually where Mr. Sheehan 2 THE COURT: And -- and again, they're not -- those expresses, what I believe is intended to be, a lay opinion as 3 statements are what he has taken away from them. They're not 3 to the likelihood that the debtor will be able to obtain 4 being admitted as true -- as the truth of what's been said to 5 5 him. So -- I -- I just don't see why it would be inadmissible financing commitments that it is presently seeking in the 6 capital markets. 6 as opposed to what weight you might give to it. 7 THE COURT: That's right. You're not offering him as 7 MR. FOX: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 an expert, are you? 8 THE COURT: So I'll admit this. Again, with the 9 9 MR. BUTLER: NO, we're not. It's a lay -- I mean, general caveat that the statements by third parties that he's our chief restructuring officer. This represents --10 Mr. Sheehan has recounted and is relying upon in this paragraph 10 THE COURT: He's a business person trying to get a 11 11 170 are not in his affidavit to show the truth of those sense of whether the likelihood of securing exit financing and 12 statements but merely that he is -- this is what he has heard. 12 the other factors that he mentioned, mean that the plan is Okay. So --13 13 feasible for purposes of 1129(a)(11). 14 MR. BUTLER: So, Your Honor, I would --14 15 MR. BUTLER: Correct. That's his opinion as the 15 THE COURT: So given that, does anyone wish to cross chief restructuring officer of the company and that's all it's 16 examine Mr. Sheehan on his declaration or in respect of the 16 designated portions of his deposition? Okay. 17 being offered for. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. 18 THE COURT: I have one question for you Mr. Sheehan 19 MR. FOX: Well -- I mean, the problem with -- with a 19 and I could ask it of you or perhaps, before you come up here, lay opinion with respect to the likelihood of the debtor are any of the counsel involved in the exit financing, you 20 21 getting financing is that that's not the typical kind of area 21 know, on reporting on exit financing and where it stands? I 22 where one would expect a lay person to be providing such an 22 guess what I would like to do is just see if there -- is there any update from the date of this declaration that would, at 23 opinion. This is more of a technical area that, you know, the 23 average person, even a chief restructuring officer, isn't 24 all, alter what Mr. Sheehan reports. 24 necessarily going to be considered an appropriate party to be 25 MR. FOX: I didn't fully understand --Page 55 Page 57 MR. BUTLER: Can I have a moment, Your Honor? 1 offering that kind of opinion. 1 2 2 THE COURT: Well, I don't -- I don't follow that. I THE COURT: Just to update it. mean -- I think it's fair for a business person, particularly 3 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, I think Mr. Sheehan would be 3 the proper question to answer the Court's question. one who's been involved in this process for the length that he 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Well, let me just briefly 5 has and who has dealt with the people, in many cases on the opposite side of the table in these matters, to have an 6 swear you in then. 6 7 opinion. You can -- you can question it but it's -- I think 7 (Witness is Sworn) THE COURT: For the record, could you spell your 8 it's just -- it's just that. 8 9 9 MR. FOX: Well, there's also -name. THE WITNESS: John, J-O-H-N, D. Sheehan, S-H-E-E-H-A 10 THE COURT: It's certainly different than an opinion 10 11 of someone who's not been involved in the process but --11 N. MR. FOX: Well, that's true, Your Honor. The other 12 THE COURT: Okay. And my question, Mr. Sheehan, and 12 13 I appreciate it's only four days difference but your 13 problem with it though is there's a foundational problem 14 because effectively it brings in hearsay because his opinion is 14 declaration's dated January 13, 2008 and it reflects that the based on what, you know, banks and such have told them and 15 exit financing process got started, I believe, on January 5th, 15 16 is that correct? 16 they're not here to testify about that. They're not being 17 offered as witnesses directly. 17 THE WITNESS: January 9th, Your Honor. THE COURT: Again, it's not -- I don't think this is 18 THE COURT: January 9th, excuse me. My question is, 18 being offered for the truth of what he's been told though. 19 knowing what you know today with regard to that process, have 19 there been any developments in connection with that process 20 It's just his view based upon --20 21 MR. FOX: Well --21 between the date of your declaration and today, that would alter your view as to the likely outcome of that process? 22 THE COURT: I mean, he has -- clearly in his 22 declaration discussed in more detail the basis for this 23 THE WITNESS: The short answer to that question is no 23 24 conclusion here. 24 Your Honor. And I'd be happy to provide you more detail if you would like. 25 MR. FOX: Right, which is based on out of court 25

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

Page 58

THE COURT: No, that's fine. All right. Does anyone wish to cross examine Mr. Sheehan on that update? All right.

3 Again, I -- I'm being somewhat reticent because I do not

4 believe it's appropriate to get into negotiation strategies and

the like. But obviously if you -- if you have come across

6 information that would suggest that you should be, you know,

considerably more pessimistic, you should let me know.

THE WITNESS: I considered my answer carefully when I answered.

THE COURT: Okay. Very well. Thank you.

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, the next -- our next witness

is Colin E. Whitmer who is a partner in the transaction

13 services practice at Pricewaterhouse Coopers LLP. Mr. Whitmer,

14 would you stand and identify yourself please?

THE COURT: He's been standing.

MR. BUTLER: He is standing. Mr. Whitmer's

17 declaration is Exhibit 68 and his deposition has been marked as

18 Exhibit 516. They have been admitted into evidence and I

19 present Mr. Whitmer now for cross examination by the parties or

20 any questions the Court may have with respect to his

21 declaration.

7

12

2.2

THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone want to cross examine

23 Mr. Whitner -- Whitmer, excuse me, on his declaration or the

24 designated sections of his deposition? Okay. I will accept

5 his declaration and the deposition provisions as his testimony.

Page 59

Page 61

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, may Mr. Whitmer be excused?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, the debtor's third witness in support of its confirmation of its plan of reorganization is

5 Dean R. Unrue. Also a witness that the Court is familiar with.

6 He's been handling all of the company's claims administration

7 process in the Chapter 11 case. He is the claims administrator

8 at Delphi Corporation. And his declaration has been submitted

9 and admitted into evidence as Exhibit 65. And his deposition

10 has been admitted as Exhibit 515. Your Honor, I would present

11 Mr. Unrue for cross examination by the parties or questions by

12 the Court about any of the matters in connection with his

13 testimony but particularly with respect to his judgment that

14 the debtors have met the 1.45 billion dollar claims cap set

15 forth in the plan of reorganization.

THE COURT: Well, when you say that, is that in his

17 deposition?

20

18 MR. BUTLER: No, but I think it was discussed -- they

19 updated it in his declaration.

THE COURT: It's not in the declaration.

MR. BUTLER: We're about twenty or thirty million

22 dollars ahead.

23 THE COURT: Right.

212-267-6868

MR. BUTLER: And the -- and maybe the best way, Your

5 Honor, just so it's clear because I think it is in there

1 somewhere would be if -- first off if anyone has any cross

2 examination it might be useful to get that update on where we

Page 60

3 are, just so it's clearly in the record.

4 THE COURT: I would like to do that. I know there

 $\,\,$ $\,$ have been some recent rulings. So Mr. Unrue, if you'd come up

6 please.

7

9

(Witness is Sworn)

8 THE COURT: And for the record, would you spell your

name?

THE WITNESS: It's Dean, D-E-A-N, R. Unrue,

11 U-N-R-U-E.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY

14 MR. BUTLER:

15 Q. Mr. Unrue, we just -- do you have any -- do you remember,

16 in your declaration, having testified about where the debtors

17 stood at the time of your declaration with respect to

18 compliance with the 1.45 billion dollar cap referenced in the

19 debtor's plan of reorganization for a limitation on what have

20 been called various names in this case but I would -- I refer

21 to them as general unsecured claims other than funded debt

22 claims. Have you -- do you recall that testimony in your

23 declaration?

24 A. Yes, I do.

25 Q. Do you have an update to that testimony?

1 A. Yes, I do.

2 Q. Could you please give the Court an update to where the

3 debtors stand with respect to that cap?

4 A. Okay. At the time of my declaration we were at 1.470,

5 twenty million dollars in excess of the cap. As you know, we

6 resolved two cases at the most recent claims hearing. The case

7 of White Source and Nu-Tech that put us slightly over the cap.

8 Since then we have, at least, three signed settlement

9 agreements that put us, currently, at three million under the

10 cap.

11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Does anyone wish to

12 cross examine Mr. Unrue, either on his declaration, his

13 deposition testimony or the most recent update from the stand?

MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, Tom Lauria for the plan

15 investors. I do not wish to cross the examine the witness

16 however I do want to, on the record, just reserve our rights

17 and make it clear that we're not expecting the Court to make

 $18\,\,$ any finding on this particular issue, we have an independent

19 right to be satisfied that this cap has not been exceeded. And

20 I -- I'm, kind of, surprised if this is an effort to get a

21 finding that that cap has been satisfied separate and apart

22 from the determination we have the right, under our contract,

23 to make.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, the evidence is what it's

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

516-608-2400